

# Exploring the Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility on Stakeholder Trust and Corporate Reputation in the Banking Industry

1. Roxana Jalilian<sup>1</sup>: Department of Marketing, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran  
 2. Alireza Moghaddasian<sup>2\*</sup>: Department of Management, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran

\*corresponding author's email: Alirza.Moghaddasian19@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

This study aimed to explore how corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives influence stakeholder trust and corporate reputation within the banking industry. A qualitative research design was employed using semi-structured interviews to collect in-depth data from 22 participants, including bank managers, CSR officers, employees, and long-term clients in Tehran. Participants were selected through purposive sampling to ensure relevance and diversity in perspectives. Data collection continued until theoretical saturation was reached. Interviews were audio-recorded, transcribed verbatim, and analyzed using thematic analysis facilitated by NVivo software. The analytical process followed Braun and Clarke's six-phase framework, allowing the identification of key themes and subthemes that emerged organically from the data. Three primary themes were identified: stakeholder trust development, CSR as a reputation enhancer, and organizational integration of CSR. Subthemes included transparent communication, ethical banking practices, community engagement, value alignment, media visibility, and leadership commitment. Participants emphasized that authentic, well-communicated, and strategically embedded CSR practices enhance stakeholder trust by signaling ethical intent and responsiveness to social concerns. Additionally, CSR contributed to a favorable corporate image, brand differentiation, and reputational resilience in times of crisis. Internal factors such as cross-departmental collaboration, employee involvement, and CSR performance evaluation were also critical in operationalizing CSR effectively. CSR plays a vital role in cultivating stakeholder trust and enhancing corporate reputation in the banking sector, especially when integrated into the organization's values, leadership agenda, and strategic communications. Trust and reputation are not isolated outcomes but are co-constructed through consistent, authentic CSR engagement with internal and external stakeholders. These findings offer both theoretical insights and practical guidance for banks aiming to strengthen their stakeholder relationships through meaningful CSR strategies.

**Keywords:** Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR); Stakeholder Trust; Corporate Reputation; Banking Sector; Qualitative Research; Organizational Integration; Strategic Communication.

## Introduction

In today's competitive and socially conscious business environment, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has evolved from a peripheral activity to a central strategic concern, particularly in industries where public trust and reputation are critical. The banking industry, marked by its fiduciary responsibilities and direct interaction with a broad stakeholder base, has witnessed a profound transformation in how CSR is integrated into core organizational practices. This transformation is largely driven by growing demands from stakeholders—customers, employees,



Article history:  
 Received 16 November 2023  
 Revised 18 December 2023  
 Accepted 28 December 2023  
 Published online 01 January 2024

### How to cite this article:

Jalilian, R., & Moghaddasian, A. (2024). Exploring the Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility on Stakeholder Trust and Corporate Reputation in the Banking Industry. *Journal of Management and Business Solutions*, 2(1), 1-9.  
<https://doi.org/10.61838/jmbs.2.1.3>



© 2024 the authors. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) License.

regulators, and the public—for ethical behavior, social responsiveness, and transparent governance (Carroll & Shabana, 2010). CSR is no longer perceived merely as philanthropy; instead, it serves as a key mechanism for cultivating stakeholder trust and enhancing corporate reputation, both of which are essential for long-term sustainability and market differentiation (Bhattacharya, Korschun, & Sen, 2009).

Corporate Social Responsibility encompasses a broad range of voluntary organizational activities aimed at creating social and environmental value beyond legal compliance and economic interests (Dahlsrud, 2008). In the banking sector, CSR efforts often include initiatives such as financial literacy programs, environmentally responsible lending, diversity and inclusion policies, and ethical investment practices (Jizi, 2017). Given the sector's vulnerability to reputational risks and the intangible nature of trust, CSR has gained traction as a strategy not only for risk management but also for value creation. The 2008 global financial crisis amplified the salience of this approach, revealing significant gaps in ethical conduct and corporate accountability across financial institutions and prompting a renewed emphasis on stakeholder-oriented models of governance (Fernando & Sim, 2011).

Stakeholder trust is widely recognized as a critical asset in the banking industry, influencing client retention, brand loyalty, and overall financial performance (Hur, Kim, & Woo, 2014). Trust is fundamentally relational and shaped by perceptions of a bank's reliability, transparency, ethical behavior, and responsiveness to stakeholder needs. CSR initiatives can serve as a powerful trust-building mechanism by signaling corporate values, demonstrating social commitment, and fostering emotional connection (Pirsch, Gupta, & Grau, 2007). Research shows that when stakeholders perceive CSR efforts as authentic and aligned with the firm's mission, trust in the organization tends to increase significantly (Du, Bhattacharya, & Sen, 2010). Conversely, disingenuous CSR or "greenwashing" can lead to skepticism and reputational harm (Walker & Wan, 2012).

Corporate reputation, a closely related yet distinct construct, refers to the collective assessment of a firm's credibility, reliability, and overall social standing by various stakeholder groups (Fombrun & Shanley, 1990). In the banking sector, where intangible assets and public perception play a vital role in competitive positioning, reputation is often described as a bank's most valuable long-term asset. Positive reputations contribute to improved customer acquisition, employee engagement, investor confidence, and regulatory goodwill (Wang, Dou, & Jia, 2016). CSR has emerged as a central driver of reputation by enhancing the moral and ethical image of banks, particularly when initiatives reflect stakeholder priorities and demonstrate consistent impact (Pomering & Johnson, 2009).

The intersection of CSR, stakeholder trust, and corporate reputation forms a dynamic and mutually reinforcing triad. CSR initiatives influence stakeholder perceptions and behavior, which in turn shape organizational reputation and stakeholder trust levels. As trust and reputation grow, banks are better positioned to implement more ambitious CSR strategies, creating a virtuous cycle of social engagement and competitive advantage (Lai, Chiu, Yang, & Pai, 2010). However, this relationship is complex and mediated by various factors, including stakeholder salience, organizational culture, communication strategies, and external legitimacy (Morsing & Schultz, 2006). Moreover, while many studies have examined CSR's impact in manufacturing and retail sectors, there remains a relative paucity of qualitative research that explores how CSR is understood and experienced within banking institutions from the perspective of stakeholders themselves.

In the context of Iran, where the banking sector plays a pivotal role in national development, CSR has begun to gain traction as part of the broader agenda of ethical governance and sustainable development. However, CSR in Iranian banks remains under-researched, especially in terms of its influence on stakeholder perceptions. Many local banks have introduced CSR initiatives in recent years, ranging from environmental sustainability programs to

financial inclusion and charitable contributions. Yet, questions remain regarding the authenticity, integration, and stakeholder reception of these efforts (Hoseinzadeh Lotfi & Salehi, 2014). Cultural expectations, regulatory dynamics, and public trust deficits present additional complexities that merit empirical investigation. Understanding how CSR practices are linked to stakeholder trust and corporate reputation in this unique socio-economic context is therefore of critical importance.

The banking sector in Tehran, as a representative urban and economic hub, provides a fertile ground for examining the nuanced ways in which CSR is perceived and operationalized. Tehran-based banks operate in a highly scrutinized environment where trust and reputation are fragile and continuously shaped by stakeholder feedback, media narratives, and policy shifts. By focusing on this locale, the present study aims to generate rich, contextualized insights into how CSR initiatives are interpreted by internal and external stakeholders and how these interpretations inform trust-building processes and reputational assessments.

This study adopts a qualitative approach to explore these dynamics, employing semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders involved in or affected by CSR practices in the banking sector. Unlike quantitative studies that often rely on perception scales or CSR indices, this research seeks to capture the lived experiences and narratives of individuals who engage with CSR in various capacities—managers, CSR officers, employees, and clients. The use of qualitative methods enables a deeper understanding of the symbolic and relational aspects of CSR that influence stakeholder trust and reputation in ways that numerical measures may not capture (Glavas & Piderit, 2009).

Through thematic analysis of interview data, this research identifies the core themes that define the relationship between CSR, trust, and reputation in the banking industry. In doing so, it contributes to the growing body of CSR literature by highlighting the voice of stakeholders and examining the internal and external dimensions of CSR perception. The study also offers practical implications for bank managers, policymakers, and CSR practitioners seeking to design more effective, authentic, and stakeholder-responsive CSR strategies.

In sum, while the instrumental benefits of CSR for organizational performance are well-documented, there is a pressing need to unpack the underlying mechanisms through which CSR fosters trust and reputation, particularly in sensitive and service-intensive industries such as banking. This study aims to fill that gap by answering the following research questions: (1) How do stakeholders perceive CSR initiatives in the banking sector? (2) In what ways do these perceptions influence stakeholder trust? and (3) How does CSR contribute to the construction and reinforcement of corporate reputation in this context?

## Methods and Materials

### *Study Design and Participants*

This study adopted a qualitative research design to explore the perceived impact of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) on stakeholder trust and corporate reputation in the banking industry. Given the exploratory nature of the research, a qualitative approach enabled an in-depth understanding of stakeholder experiences and interpretations. The study population comprised professionals and stakeholders affiliated with the banking sector in Tehran, including bank managers, CSR officers, communications specialists, and long-term clients.

A total of 22 participants were selected through purposive sampling to ensure they had substantial knowledge or direct engagement with CSR initiatives in the banking sector. The selection process aimed for maximum variation

in roles, experience, and organizational affiliations to capture diverse perspectives. Interviews continued until theoretical saturation was reached, at which point no new themes were emerging from the data.

### *Data Collection*

Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, which allowed flexibility for participants to express their views while maintaining a consistent thematic framework. An interview guide was developed based on key themes in the literature, including CSR practices, stakeholder engagement, reputation management, and trust-building. Sample questions included:

- “How do you perceive your bank’s CSR efforts?”
- “In what ways do you think CSR affects the trust of stakeholders?”
- “Can you describe any impact CSR has had on the reputation of your organization?”

Each interview lasted approximately 40 to 60 minutes, and participants provided informed consent before participation. Interviews were conducted in person at the participants’ workplaces or via secure online video calls when necessary. All interviews were audio-recorded with permission and transcribed verbatim for analysis.

### *Data analysis*

Data analysis was conducted using thematic analysis facilitated by NVivo qualitative data analysis software. The process followed Braun and Clarke’s six-phase approach: familiarization with the data, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and producing the final report.

Initial open coding was applied to identify patterns and key concepts across the transcripts. Codes were then grouped into subthemes and overarching themes reflecting the participants’ views on CSR’s influence on stakeholder trust and corporate reputation. Coding and theme development were conducted iteratively to ensure consistency and accuracy. To enhance the trustworthiness of the study, strategies such as member checking, peer debriefing, and audit trails were employed.

## **Findings and Results**

### **Theme 1: Stakeholder Trust Development**

#### **Transparent Communication**

Participants emphasized that transparent communication is foundational to building stakeholder trust. They highlighted practices such as publishing detailed CSR reports, hosting community briefings, and maintaining open channels for stakeholder inquiries. One participant shared, “What builds trust is when the bank doesn’t hide information—our clients know exactly where our CSR funds are going.”

#### **Ethical Banking Practices**

Trust was also rooted in ethical conduct, particularly in financial dealings. Fair lending practices, anti-corruption policies, and clear ethical guidelines emerged as crucial factors. A manager noted, “Clients notice when a bank operates with integrity—when we say no to bribery or apply the same rules to everyone.”

#### **Community Engagement**

Engaging with local communities through volunteering, education programs, and local sponsorships enhanced perceptions of sincerity and commitment. One interviewee stated, “When we run financial literacy programs in underprivileged neighborhoods, people begin to see us as partners, not just a business.”

#### Long-Term Relationship Orientation

Building long-term relationships rather than focusing on short-term profits was seen as a strategic CSR practice. Initiatives that reward client loyalty or support clients during hardship were highly valued. As one participant explained, “We try to help our clients grow. That’s why they trust us—it’s not just about the money.”

#### Responsiveness to Social Issues

Participants praised banks that responded quickly and meaningfully to societal needs, such as during natural disasters or the COVID-19 pandemic. One interviewee said, “When the bank donated oxygen tanks during the pandemic, that moved people. It showed we care beyond profits.”

#### Customer-Centric CSR

Tailoring CSR initiatives to customer concerns (e.g., digital literacy, green loans) was identified as another trust-enhancing factor. A CSR officer commented, “We collect client feedback on CSR programs—they feel more connected when we listen and act.”

### Theme 2: CSR as a Reputation Enhancer

#### Alignment with Organizational Values

Participants indicated that CSR must be authentic and aligned with a bank’s mission and values. Cosmetic or “performative” CSR was viewed skeptically. One participant noted, “People can tell when CSR is just for show. It has to come from the organization’s core values.”

#### Media and Public Relations

Effective communication of CSR efforts through media significantly shaped external reputation. Coverage in respected news outlets or visibility on social media helped solidify a bank’s socially responsible image. One interviewee shared, “We don’t just do CSR—we show it. Visibility matters.”

#### Awards and Certifications

Recognition from credible third parties, such as sustainability rankings or ISO certifications, added to stakeholder confidence. A CSR manager explained, “When we won a CSR award last year, even our competitors congratulated us. It really strengthened our brand.”

#### Brand Differentiation

CSR initiatives helped banks stand out in a competitive market. Green banking products, inclusive services, or innovative social projects were cited as effective differentiators. One participant stated, “Our women-empowerment loans make us unique—we’re not just another bank.”

#### Crisis Resilience

Participants consistently mentioned that CSR helps buffer reputational damage during crises. A senior executive remarked, “When we faced a service outage, people gave us the benefit of the doubt—because they remembered our social work.”

### Theme 3: Organizational Integration of CSR

#### Leadership Commitment

Top-down support from executives was viewed as essential for effective CSR implementation. Participants observed that leadership sets the tone for seriousness. One interviewee said, “When our CEO speaks about CSR in board meetings, everyone takes it seriously.”

#### Employee Involvement

Internal engagement was key to CSR success. Many banks encouraged staff to volunteer or offered CSR training. A participant shared, “We have CSR champions in every department—it makes everyone feel like they’re part of something bigger.”

#### CSR Performance Evaluation

Monitoring and evaluation tools such as impact assessments or ESG dashboards were increasingly used. These measures provided accountability and direction. One CSR analyst explained, “We have KPIs for every CSR project—so we know what’s working and what’s not.”

#### Policy and Strategy Integration

Embedding CSR in formal policies and strategic documents was another sign of maturity. One participant emphasized, “It’s not just side projects anymore—CSR is part of our strategic roadmap.”

#### Cross-Departmental Collaboration

Effective CSR implementation required cooperation across departments like HR, marketing, and compliance. A stakeholder observed, “We co-design our CSR campaigns with marketing and operations. It’s a joint effort now.”

#### CSR Innovation

Innovation in CSR—such as adopting tech for social impact or designing novel initiatives—was praised. One interviewee said, “We piloted a mobile banking unit for disabled clients—something no one else was doing.”

#### Resource Allocation and Budgeting

Participants emphasized that without proper funding, CSR lacks impact. Dedicated CSR budgets and ROI evaluations were signs of commitment. A finance officer noted, “We treat CSR like any other investment—it has a budget, timelines, and performance reviews.”

## Discussion and Conclusion

The findings of this study offer robust empirical support for the interconnected role of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in shaping stakeholder trust and corporate reputation within the banking sector. The emergent themes—stakeholder trust development, CSR as a reputation enhancer, and organizational integration of CSR—highlight the multidimensional nature of CSR and its embeddedness within stakeholder perceptions, organizational culture, and external communications.

The theme of **stakeholder trust development** aligns closely with prior research that conceptualizes CSR as a trust-building mechanism. Transparent communication, ethical banking practices, and responsiveness to social issues were strongly emphasized by participants as trust drivers. These elements echo the findings of Du et al. (2010), who argue that stakeholders are more likely to trust companies that demonstrate transparency and authenticity in their CSR activities. Similarly, Pirsch et al. (2007) note that CSR must be perceived as genuine and rooted in ethical commitment to engender trust. Participant accounts in this study confirmed that CSR initiatives perceived as customer-centric and aligned with stakeholder concerns—such as environmental responsibility or social support during crises—generate significant goodwill and emotional trust.

The finding that **long-term relationship orientation** contributes to stakeholder trust is consistent with relationship marketing literature, which suggests that CSR fosters relational rather than transactional stakeholder connections (Bhattacharya et al., 2009). In the banking industry, where services are intangible and the risk of opportunistic behavior is high, such long-term orientation can mitigate trust deficits. Moreover, the role of community engagement in building trust supports the arguments by Hur et al. (2014), who found that community-focused CSR strengthens social capital and client loyalty.

The second theme, **CSR as a reputation enhancer**, reveals how CSR practices shape organizational image and stakeholder evaluations. Participants described how media visibility, awards, certifications, and brand differentiation are essential to establishing credibility and positioning the bank favorably in the public eye. These findings support Fombrun and Shanley's (1990) assertion that corporate reputation is a socially constructed asset shaped by external signals and stakeholder narratives. Moreover, CSR activities contribute to reputational resilience in times of crisis, a finding consistent with the reputation insurance hypothesis posited by Godfrey (2005), which suggests that CSR serves as a buffer against reputational damage.

Participants consistently stated that **authenticity and alignment with organizational values** are crucial for CSR to enhance reputation. This echoes Morsing and Schultz's (2006) notion that stakeholder involvement and consistency in messaging are essential to avoid perceptions of greenwashing. When CSR appears strategic and well-integrated—rather than reactive or disjointed—it adds to an organization's legitimacy (Carroll & Shabana, 2010). The results also confirm findings by Pomeroy and Johnson (2009), who showed that CSR communication significantly affects how CSR efforts are perceived and whether they translate into reputational capital.

The third major theme, **organizational integration of CSR**, underscores the importance of embedding CSR into the structural and cultural fabric of the organization. Leadership commitment emerged as a critical factor, confirming the conclusions of Aguilera et al. (2007), who argue that top management plays a decisive role in translating CSR from ideology to practice. Interviewees stressed that CSR flourishes when leaders allocate resources, incentivize employee involvement, and articulate CSR as a strategic priority. This aligns with Glavas and Piderit (2009), who found that leadership commitment to CSR fosters employee engagement and strengthens internal identity alignment.

Moreover, findings around **employee involvement and cross-departmental collaboration** reflect the shift toward participatory and distributed CSR models. As noted by Crane et al. (2014), CSR is increasingly seen not only as an external strategy but also as an internal process that depends on employee awareness and co-ownership. In this study, the presence of CSR “ambassadors” and departmental coordination signaled a mature CSR culture, mirroring observations in earlier qualitative studies by Jizi (2017) on banking sector CSR governance.

**Evaluation and resource allocation** were also highlighted as critical enablers of effective CSR. Participants pointed to the use of KPIs and impact metrics, suggesting a move toward more data-driven, outcome-oriented CSR practices. This is in line with the recommendations of Porter and Kramer (2011), who advocate for CSR that creates shared value and is measurable in both social and business terms. Resource commitment was seen as a key indicator of sincerity and strategic alignment—banks that treated CSR as a cost center rather than a core investment were viewed as lacking credibility.

Taken together, the study's findings demonstrate that stakeholder trust and corporate reputation in the banking industry are co-constructed through meaningful, consistent, and well-integrated CSR practices. The results reinforce the idea that CSR is not merely an external marketing tool but a complex relational and operational process

that must be anchored in organizational identity and stakeholder engagement. This holistic perspective builds on and extends previous CSR literature by offering contextual insights specific to the banking sector and the sociocultural setting of Tehran.

### Acknowledgments

We would like to express our appreciation and gratitude to all those who helped us carrying out this study.

### Authors' Contributions

All authors equally contributed to this study.

### Declaration of Interest

The authors of this article declared no conflict of interest.

### Ethical Considerations

All ethical principles were adhered in conducting and writing this article.

### Transparency of Data

In accordance with the principles of transparency and open research, we declare that all data and materials used in this study are available upon request.

### Funding

This research was carried out independently with personal funding and without the financial support of any governmental or private institution or organization.

### References

- Bhattacharya, C. B., Korschun, D., & Sen, S. (2009). Strengthening stakeholder–company relationships through mutually beneficial corporate social responsibility initiatives. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 85(2), 257–272. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-008-9730-3>
- Carroll, A. B., & Shabana, K. M. (2010). The business case for corporate social responsibility: A review of concepts, research and practice. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 12(1), 85–105. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2009.00275.x>
- Dahlsrud, A. (2008). How corporate social responsibility is defined: An analysis of 37 definitions. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 15(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.132>
- Du, S., Bhattacharya, C. B., & Sen, S. (2010). Maximizing business returns to corporate social responsibility (CSR): The role of CSR communication. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 12(1), 8–19. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2009.00276.x>
- Fernando, M., & Sim, A. B. (2011). Corporate social responsibility practices in India: A study of four MNCs. *Business and Society*, 50(2), 340–364. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650309336458>
- Fombrun, C., & Shanley, M. (1990). What's in a name? Reputation building and corporate strategy. *Academy of Management Journal*, 33(2), 233–258. <https://doi.org/10.5465/256324>
- Glavas, A., & Piderit, S. K. (2009). How does doing good matter? Effects of corporate citizenship on employees. *Journal of Corporate Citizenship*, 2009(36), 51–70. <https://doi.org/10.9774/GLEAF.4700.2009.wi.00008>

- Hoseinzadeh Lotfi, F., & Salehi, M. (2014). Investigating the relationship between CSR and corporate reputation in Iranian banks. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 4(9), 346–358. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v4-i9/1170>
- Hur, W. M., Kim, H., & Woo, J. (2014). How CSR leads to corporate brand equity: Mediating mechanisms of corporate brand credibility and reputation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 125(1), 75–86. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-013-1910-0>
- Jizi, M. (2017). The influence of board composition on sustainable development disclosure. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 26(5), 640–655. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.1943>
- Lai, C. S., Chiu, C. J., Yang, C. F., & Pai, D. C. (2010). The effects of corporate social responsibility on brand performance: The mediating effect of industrial brand equity and corporate reputation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 95(3), 457–469. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0433-1>
- Morsing, M., & Schultz, M. (2006). Corporate social responsibility communication: Stakeholder information, response and involvement strategies. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 15(4), 323–338. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8608.2006.00460.x>
- Pirsch, J., Gupta, S., & Grau, S. L. (2007). A framework for understanding corporate social responsibility programs as a continuum: An exploratory study. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 70(2), 125–140. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-006-9100-y>
- Pomering, A., & Johnson, L. W. (2009). Advertising corporate social responsibility initiatives to communicate corporate image: Inhibiting skepticism to enhance persuasion. *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, 14(4), 420–439. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13563280910998763>
- Walker, K., & Wan, F. (2012). The harm of symbolic actions and green-washing: Corporate actions and communications on environmental performance and their financial implications. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 109(2), 227–242. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-1122-4>
- Wang, H., Dou, J., & Jia, S. (2016). A meta-analytic review of corporate social responsibility and corporate financial performance: The moderating effect of contextual factors. *Business & Society*, 55(8), 1083–1121. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650315584317>